

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,264	04/01/2004	Jong Jin Park	021269-013	8445
21839 75	90 08/28/2006	EXAMINER		
· ·	, INGERSOLL & ROO	DICKEY, THOMAS L		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404 ., VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>NEBAL</i> II (BINI	,		2826	***

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/814,264	PARK ET AL.	PARK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas L. Dickey	2826		
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence a	address	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be availab after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may If NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or ex	R, FROM THE MAILING le under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 ailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory perio tended period for reply will, by statuter than three months after the mail	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO. 136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB ing date of this communication, even if	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this HANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status					
1) Responsive to comr	nunication(s) filed on <u>01</u>	March 2006			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL		is action is non-final.			
<u> </u>	/—	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
<i>'</i> — ''		Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	•		
Disposition of Claims	·	• •	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21 and 2</u>	3 is/are pending in the ar	polication.			
		withdrawn from consideratio	n.		
5) Claim(s) is/ar					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18</u> is/are					
7) Claim(s) <u>19-21</u> is/are	<u> </u>				
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is o	biected to by the Examir	ner			
•	•	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
		e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	•	-	
		ction is required if the drawing(• •	CFR 1.121(d).	
		Examiner. Note the attached			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is r a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some *	_	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).		
•	es of the priority documer	nts have been received.			
		nts have been received in A	oplication No.		
		ority documents have been		al Stage	
	m the International Bure			3 - 3 - 3 -	
* See the attached deta	illed Office action for a lis	et of the certified copies not	received.		
Attachment(s)					
1) $igotimes$ Notice of References Cited (PT 2) $igodium$ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent			ummary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Information Disclosure Stateme Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT 	ГО-152)	

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection.

Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 08/01/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ALIVISATOS ET AL. (2005/0054004) in view of WANG (2003/0180665).

Alivisatos et al. discloses a method for forming a semiconductor nanocrystal pattern on a substrate (such as a 3-4 nm thick film of amorphous carbon, note paragraph 0084), comprising the steps of a) dispersing CdS, CdSe, ZnS, or ZnSe (note, e.g., paragraph 0008) (although many types of semiconductor

Art Unit: 2826

nanocrystals may be used, note paragraph 0056) semiconductor nanocrystals in an organic solvent (such as chloroform, toluene, or tetrahydrofuran, note paragraphs 0075 and 0084) and coating the dispersion onto the substrate by, for example, spin coating, dip coating, spray coating or blade coating; wherein said semiconductor nanocrystals are surface coordinated (note paragraph 0057) with phosphine oxide (a compound containing a photosensitive functional group.

Note, paragraph 0100, that the phosphine oxide coated nanocrystals are sensitive to a process Alivisatos et al. call "photoannealing"); b) evaporating (note, again, paragraph 0084) said organic solvent to form a film on said substrate of said semiconductor nanocrystals surface coordinated with said phosphine oxide (photosensitive compound); b') drying said film at 30-100 (note paragraphs 0072-0073) degrees C. Note figure 7 and paragraphs 0008-0013; 019; 0054-0057; 0068-0079; and 0095-0107 of Alivisatos et al.

Alivisatos et al. does not disclose the steps of c) selectively exposing said film to light through a mask wherein a cross linking reaction takes place resulting in a solubility difference between exposed and unexposed areas; and d) developing the exposed film with the use of an organic solvent, a weakly acid or basic solution, or water. However, Wang discloses a method for producing multiple objects on a single substrate 101 comprising the steps of selectively exposing a film 100 to light (X-rays or ultra short UV) through a mask 104 wherein a cross linking reaction takes place resulting in a solubility difference between exposed 106 and unexposed 100 areas; and then developing (arrow 105) the exposed

Art Unit: 2826

106 film using acetone (an organic solvent) Note figures 3a-c and paragraphs 0150-0179 of Wang. Many contemporaries of Alivisatos et al. and Wang have suggested advantages accruing from the use of techniques involving photopatterning and subsequently developing cross-linkable polymers. For example Bai et al. 2004/ 0222412 suggest the use of such a technique could be used to produce such varied electronic devices as field-effect thin film transistors, capacitors, embedded capacitors or electroluminescent/lamps, and would be useful in patterning organic polymer semiconductors or inorganic semiconductors such as, for example, amorphous Si, CdS, or CdSe. Bai et al. go on to say that crosslinkable polymers are particularly desirable in that they provide flexibility in manufacturing methods, would easily integrate with solution processed device layers, and could allow for high-speed roll-to-roll processing. Note paragraphs 0031-0038 of Bai et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art to augment Alivisatos et al.'s method with the steps of selectively exposing said film to light through a mask wherein a cross linking reaction takes place resulting in a solubility difference between exposed and unexposed areas; and developing the exposed film with the use of an organic solvent, such as taught by Wang, in order to produce multiple objects on a single substrate to thus provide a patterning method that provides flexibility in manufacturing methods, easily integrates with solution processed device layers, and could allow for high-speed roll-to-roll processing.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,264

Page 6

Art Unit: 2826

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas L. Dickey Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826